

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 TOKYO 04260 01 OF 02 011158Z

53

ACTION EA-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 IO-14 INT-08 OMB-01 TRSE-00 CIAE-00

DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04

RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-20 USIA-15 EB-11 DRC-01 /124 W

----- 037461

R 011004Z APR 74

FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 975

CINCPAC REP GUAM/TTPI-LNO

INFO HQ USARBCO MACHINATO/TTPI-LNO

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 TOKYO 4260

CINCPAC REP GUAM PLEASE PASS HICOM AND STATUS LNO TRENT SAIPAN

STATE PASS INTERIOR FOR DOTA AND OSN

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: EAID, JA, TQ

SUBJECT: MICRONISIA CLAIMS AGREEMENT

REF: STATE 055585

SUMMARY: RE LIST, FONOFF AFTER CONSULTATIONS WITH OTHER MINISTRIES REQUESTS DELETION OF PEARL CULTURE PORTION OF MARICULTURE PROJECT. FONOFF DOUBTS STEEL TUNA BOATS CAN BE PROCURED IN JAPAN AND SUGGESTS REINFORCED PLASTIC TUNA BOATS INSTEAD. RE CONTRACTS, FONOFF REQUESTS SEVERAL MINOR CHANGES. RE MECHANICS OF PROCUREMENT AND CONFIRMATION, FONOFF THINKING OF PROCEDURE THAT WOULD REQUIRE PERIODIC TRIPS TO TOKYO BY PROCUREMENT OFFICER, AT LEAST INITIALLY. END SUMMARY.

1. ON MARCH 29 SASAKI OF FONOFF CALLED IN EMBOFF TO GIVEN GOJ VIEWS ON DRAFT LIST OF PRODUCTS AND SERVICES AND CONTRACT FORMS AND REVIEW OTHER QUESTIONS RELATED TO IMPLEMENTATION OF CLAIMS AGREEMENT.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 TOKYO 04260 01 OF 02 011158Z

2. CONCERNING LIST, SASAKI SAID FONOFF HAS COMPLETED CONSULTATIONS WITH OTHER GOJ MINISTRIES AND OBTAINED LATTER'S APPROVAL TO LIST WITH EXCEPTION FOLLOWING TWO POINTS:

A. MARICULTURE PROJECT: PEARL OYSTER CULTURE CONSULTANT AND EQUIPMENT FOR PRODUCTION OF PEARLS. FIRM POLICY OF AGRICULTURE FORESTRY MINISTRY (MAF) FOR SOME TIME HAS BEEN TO PREVENT DISSEMINATION OF JAPANESE PEARL CULTURE TECHNIQUES OVERSEAS. FONOFF DID BEST PERSUADE MAF TO COMPROMISE ON THIS POINT BUT WAS UNSUCCESSFUL. THUS FONOFF REQUESTS THIS ITEM BE DELETED FOR FPROJECT. SIMILARLY, ITEM D ON PAGE 6 OF PROJECT DESCRIPTION SHOULD BE DELETED AND TOTAL INCOME POSSIBILITIES ADJUSTED AS APPROPRIATE. IN INTEREST QUICKEST AGREEMENT, FONOFF SUGGESTS FUNDS WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN USED FOR PEARL CULTURE BE USED FOR OTHER ITM(S) UNDER VILLAGE PRODUCTION BUDGET OR FOR LABORATORY COMPLEX, RATHER THAN FOR NEW USE.

B. TUNA BOAT PROJECT. FONOFF UNDERSTAND S AFTER CONSULTING WITH OTHER MINISTRIES THAT JAPANESE SHIP BUILDERS DO NOT MAKE STEEL VESSELS OF PARTICULAR TYPE ENVISAGED BY TTPE. THUS, FONOFF SUGGESTS TTPE PROCURE BOATS OF FIBER-GLASS REINFORCED PLASTIC (FRP). FONOFF UNDERSTANDS THAT FRP VESSELS OF THIS SIZE WOULD BE SAFTER THAN STEEL VESSELS, AND THAT FRP BOAT HULLS ALSO LESS SUBJECT TO ATTACH BY BARNACLES, ETC. DIMENSIONS OF FRP VESSEL WOULD BE DIFFERENT THAN THOSE OF STEEL VESSEL DUE DIFFERENT PROPERTIES TWO SUBSTANCES. UNFORTUNATELY, FRP VESSELS SOMEWHAT MORE EXPENSIVE THAT TTPI'S ESTIMATE COST FOR STEEL VESSELS; ONE FRP BOAT WOULD COST ABOUT YEN 65 MISSION. THUS IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO PROCURE ONLY SIX VESSELS INSTEAD OF PROPOSED TEN. WHEN PRESSED BY EMBOFF, SASAKI SAID FONOFF HAS NO OBJECTION WHATSOEVER TO STEEL BOATS AS SUCH; IF TTPE CAN FIND JAPANESE SUPPLIER, FONOFF WOULD NOT OBJECT. ONLY PROBLEM IS THAT FONOFF UNDERSTANDS THAT DESIRED STEEL VESSELS SIMPLY NOT AVAILABLE. THUS, FONOFF REQUESTS THAT IF TTPI AGREEABLE TO ALTERNATIVE OF FRP, SPECIFICATIONS OF STEEL VESSEL AND ARGUMENTATION IN FAVOR OF STEEL SIMPLY BE DELETED FROM

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 TOKYO 04260 01 OF 02 011158Z

DETAILED DESCRIPTION. ALTERNATIVELY, SPECIFICATIONS AND ARGUMENTATION COULD BE RETAINED AND CLEARLY ANNOTATED TO EFFECT PURCHASE OF FRP VESSEL MOST LIKELY BUT, IF POSSIBLE, STEEL VESSELS WILL BE PROCURED AND CHARACTERISTICS OF STEEL AS FOLLOWS, ETC. DATA ON DISTRIBUTION OF INCOME, IF RETAINED, SHOULD BE SIMILARLY ANNOTATED.

C. RE PROPOSED PURCHASE OF FERTILIZERS (ITEM 10 CATEGORY B

OF LIST), SASAKI SAID THAT FONOFF HAS NO OBJECTION AT ALL TO PROPOSED PURCHASE BUT TTPI SHOULD BE AWARE ONLY TYPES OF FERTILIZER NOW AVAILABLE IN JAPAN ARE AMMONIUM SULFATE, UREA, AND AMMONIUM CHLORIDE.

D. FINAL AGREEMENT TO LIST, WHEN REACHED, SHOULD BE FORMALIZED BY EXCHANGE OF LETTERS BETWEEN FONOFF AND EMBASSY. FONOFF VIEW IS THAT ANY REFERENCE IN SUCH LETTERS TO AMOUNTS INVOLVED WILL BE ONLY TO YEN. THUS, FONOFF REQUESTS THAT APPROPRIATE ANNOTATION BE ENTERED ON LIST OF PRODUCTS AND SERVICES TO EFFECT THAT VALUES EXPRESSED IN DOLLARS ARE FOR INTERNAL USE OF TTPI ONLY.

E. SASAKI, OBVIOUSLY UNCOMFORTABLE TO BE IN POSITION OF REQUESTING CHANGES TO LIST, SAID HE HOPED TTPQ AUTHORITIES WOULD BE AGREEABLE TO TWO CHANGES REQUESTED AND THAT FINAL AGREEMENT COULD BE QUICKLY REACHED.

2. RE PROPOSED PROCUREMENT CONTACT FORMS, SASAKI SAID TTPE FORM BASICALLY FINE BUT FONOFF REQUESTS FOLLOWING MINOR CHANGES.

A. CONTRACT NUMBER: FONOFF REQUESTS CROSS REFERENCE TO APPROPRIATE CATEGORY AND ITEM OF LIST OF PRODUCTS AND SERVICES BE ADDED TO CONTRACT NUMBER WHEREVER IT APPEARS AS AID TO FONOFF IN CONFIRMING CONTRACTS, FOR INSTANCE, TTPI-MWC-1/A-1 IN CASE OF SCHOOLS.

B. PROCUREMENT OFFICER: FONOFF REQUESTS HE BE IDENTIFIED ON CONTACT AS PROCUREMENT OFFICER RATHER THAN LIAISON OFFICER. IF PROCUREMENT OFFICER TRANSFERS FROM OKINAWA TO SAIPAN, CORRECT LOCATION SHOULD BE INDICATED.
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 TOKYO 04260 01 OF 02 011158Z

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 TOKYO 04260 02 OF 02 011206Z

53
ACTION EA-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 IO-14 INT-08 OMB-01 TRSE-00 CIAE-00

DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04

RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-20 USIA-15 EB-11 DRC-01 /124 W

----- 037460

R 011004Z APR 74

FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 976

CINCPAC REP GUAM/TTPI-LNO

INFO HQ USARBCO MACHINATO/TTPI-LNO

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 TOKYO 4260

C. CONFIRMATION BY GOJ: FONOFF REQUESTS THAT TITLE AND SIGNATURE OF PROCUREMENT OFFICER BE TYPED AT TOP OF BLANK SPACE ON CERTIFICATE OF AWARD. THIS PRINTED INFO AT BOTTOM OF FORM COULD THEN BE XXED OUT. UNDER TITLE AND SIGNATURE LINES, FONOFF REQUESTS FOLLOWING STATEMENT BE ADDED: QTE IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT THIS CONTRACT HAS BEEN DULY CONFIRMED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF JAPAN UNDER PARAGRAPH 4(2) OF THE EXCHANGE OF NOTES DATED MARCH 13, 1973 REGARDING THE ARRANGEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE I, PARAGRAPH 3 OF THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN JAPAN AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CONCERNING THE TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS SIGNED ON APRIL 18, 1969. UNQTE. BELOW THIS, ON LEFT, QTE (DATE OF CONFIRMATION) UNQTE WOULD BE TYPED IN, AND BELOW THAT QTE (CONFIRMATION NO.) UNQTE. TO RIGHT, QTE SEAL GOVERNMENT OF JAPAN UNQTE WOULD BE ADDED. APPLICATION OF GOJ SEAL IS USUAL WAY OF DOING THIS KIND OF THING, SAID SASKI. AS GOJ IS CONFIRMING CERTIFICATE OF AWARD INCLUDING PROCUREMENT OFFICER'S SIGNATURE, CONFIRMATION SHOULD COME BELOW SIGNATURE IN FONOFF VIEW.

D. CONDITION THAT CONTRACT IS INOPERATIVE UNTIL CONFIRMED BY GOJ: FONOFF REQUESTS THAT WORDING OF SPECIAL FPROVISION 7 BE CHANGED BY ADDING QTE DULY UNQTE AFTER CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 TOKYO 04260 02 OF 02 011206Z

QTE UNLESS UNQTE AND DELETING QTE A DULY AUTHORIZED OFFICIAL OF UNQTE. PROVISION WOULD THUS READ QTE: THIS CONTRACT SHALL BE INOPERATIVE AND NOT BINDING ON THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES, THE GOVERNMENT OF JAPAN OR THE CONTACTOR UNTIL AND UNLESS DULY CONFIRMED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF JAPAN, AS SHOWN ON THE CERTIFICATE OF AWARD UNQTE.

3. SHIPPING ARRANGEMENTS: FONOFF ANTICIPATES THAT PRO-

CUREMENT OFFICER WILL HAVE JAPANESE SUPPLIER MAKE SHIPPING ARRANGEMENTS. IN CASES WHERE SHIPPING IS BY JAPANESE VESSEL AND NAME, DEPARTUE, DATE, ETC. ARE KNOWN WHEN CONTRACT SIGNED, THIS INFO COULD BE INCLUDED IN CONTRACT AND PRICE MADE CIF SAIPAN. IN OTHER CASES, PRICE SHOULD BE FOB JAPAN; IF IT DEVELOPED LATER THAT JAPANESE CARRIER WERE TO RPOVIDE SHIPPING, SHIPPING ARRANGEMENTS COULD BE COVERED IN SEPRATE CONTRACT WHICH WOULD ALSO BE SUBJECT TO CONFIRMATION BY GOJ.

3. PROCEDUREAL DETAILS. SASAKI SAID FONOFF NOW PREPARING ITS VIEWS ON HOW CONTRACTS SHOULD BE HANDLED. FONOFF WOULD LIKE FOLLOW ITS STANDARD PROCEDURE IN SUCH CASES, AND WOULD LIKE TO FORMALIZE AGREEMENT ON PROCEDURE BY EXCHANGE OF LETTERS BETWEEN FONOFF AND EMBASSY. EMBOFF REPLIED THAT ANY PROCEDURE ADOPTED SHOULD BE SIMPLEST POSSIBLE, IN INTEREST SPEEDY PROCUREMENT. EMBOFF ADDED THAT WHILE TTPI STILL STUDYING QUESTION, EMBASSY UNDERSTANDS TTPI HOPES THAT MUCH OF CONTRACT NEGOTIATION AND PROCUREMENT COULD BE HANDLED BY MAIL, THOUGH, GRANTED, ONE OR TWO VISITS TO JAPAN BY PROCUREMENT OFFICER WOULD PROBABLY BE NECESSARY AS PROCUREMENT BEGINS, AND VISIT BY PROCUREMENT OFFICER AND/OR EXPERT MIGHT BE NECESSARY IN CASE OF COMPLEX CONTRACTS. EMBOFF SAID HE HOPED THIS METHOD WOULD BE COMPATIBLE WITH PROCEDURES FONOFF HAS IN MIND. SASAKI RESPONDED THAT FONOFF FEELS IT WILL BE NECESSARY TO TALK TO BOTH PROCUREMENT OFFICER AND SUPPLIER IN COURSE OF CONFIRMATION, AND THAT, FURTHER, FONOFF WOULD NEED TWO-THREE WEEKS TO STUDY CONTRACTS AND CONSULT WITH OTHER MINISTRIES PRIOR TO DISCUSSING THEM WITH PROCUREMENT OFFICER. IF TTPI NOT PLANNING ESTABLISH PROCUREMENT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 TOKYO 04260 02 OF 02 011206Z

OFFICE IN TOKYO, PERHAPS FONOFF NEEDS COULD BE MET BY PERIODIC VISITS OF OFFICER TO TOKYO; ON ANY GIVEN VISIT COULD BE DISCUSSED. AT ANY RATE, FONOFF IS FLEXIBLE AND WILLING TO SEE WHAT IS NECESSARY BASED ON EXPERIENCE AS PROCUREMENT PROCEEDS.

4. TAX EMEMPTION. DRAWING ON REFTEL, EMBOFF EXPLAINED AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE INVOLVED IN GRANTING TAX EXEMPTION REQUIRED BY MARCH 13, 1973 EXCHANGE OF NOTES (TIAS 7581). SASAKI REQUESTED HE BE KEPT INFORMED RE EXEMPTION. ALTHOUGH SASAKI DID NOT RAISE THIS QUESTION, EMBASSY NOTES THAT EXCHANGE OF NOTES MENTIONS JAPANESE NATIONALS AND JURIDICAL PERSONS WHILE REFTEL REFERS ONLY TO JAPANESE NATIONALS. EMBASSY ASSUMES AUTHORITY EXISTS TO GRANT EXEMPTION TO CORPORATIONS AS WELL AS INDIVIDUALS AND THAT SUCH EXEMPTION

WILL BE GRANTED.

5. EMBASSY MAILING TO TTPI COPY OF CONTRACT FORM WITH
CHANGES REQUESTED BY FONOFF ADDED.

6. SPECIAL ACCOUNT. SECOND DEPOSIT TO SPECIAL ACCOUNT
WAS MADE MARCH 22. KOMETANI OF TAIYO KOBE BANK IS WRITING
TO TTPI TO EXPLAIN PROBLEM INVOLVING CHECKS.

7. ACTION REQUESTED. HICOM: ADVICE WHETHER
CHANGES TO LIST AND CONTRACT FORM REQUESTED BY FONOFF
ACCEPTABLE. DEPARTMENT: IF RPT IF EMBASSY'S ASSUMPTION
PARA 4 ABOVE NOT RPT NOT CORRECT, PLEASE CLARIFY.

SHOESMITH

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: AGREEMENTS, AGREEMENT DRAFT, PEARLS, PROPERTY WAR CLAIMS, TAX RELIEF
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 01 APR 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974TOKYO04260
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740071-0598
From: TOKYO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740476/aaaacrzm.tel
Line Count: 292
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 6
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: STATE 055585
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 30 JUL 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <30 JUL 2002 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <20 FEB 2003 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MICRONISIA CLAIMS AGREEMENT
TAGS: EAID, JA, TQ
To: STATE CINCPAC REP GUAM/TTPI-LNO
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005